	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/603,344	LATZA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kelly Mahafkey	1761
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Kelly Mahafkey.	(3)	
(2) Ellen Plotkin.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 February 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:	·	
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant confirmed that a proper reply had been sent to the July 2, 2006 office action.		
	y 2, 2000 omes action.	
<ul> <li>Part III.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writtone ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
HU/UT		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)